



Office de la propriété  
intellectuelle  
du Canada

Un organisme  
d'Industrie Canada  
www.opic.gc.ca

Canadian  
Intellectual Property  
Office

An Agency of  
Industry Canada  
www.cipo.gc.ca

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR - 5 2006

December 29, 2004

**SMART & BIGGAR**  
P.O. Box 2999  
Station D  
OTTAWA Ontario  
K1P 5Y6

2005 JAN -5 A 0:07

STREET

**Application No.** : 2,436,872  
**Owner** : TWENTY YEAR INNOVATIONS, INC.  
**Title** : METHODS AND APPARATUSES FOR PROGRAMMING  
USER-DEFINED INFORMATION INTO ELECTRONIC DEVICES  
**Classification** : H04M-1/247  
**Your File No.** : ~~50320-1~~  
**Examiner** : S.Chhim

**YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED OF :**

- A REQUISITION BY THE EXAMINER IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBSECTION 30(2) OF THE *PATENT RULES*;
- A REQUISITION BY THE EXAMINER IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 29 OF THE *PATENT RULES*.

IN ORDER TO AVOID MULTIPLE ABANDONMENTS UNDER PARAGRAPH 73(1)(A) OF THE *PATENT ACT*, A WRITTEN REPLY TO EACH REQUISITION MUST BE RECEIVED WITHIN 6 MONTHS AFTER THE ABOVE DATE.

This application has been examined taking into account applicant's correspondence received in this office on October 21, 2004.

The number of claims in this application is 171.

The examiner has identified the following defects in the application:

The claims are directed to a plurality of alleged inventions as follows:

**Group A** - Claims 1-50 and 53-84 are directed to a telephone having means for optionally reviewing the selected audio file;

**Group B** - Claims 51-52 are directed to a telephone having means for preventing the authorized distribution of a selected audio file;

**Group C** - Claims 85-147 and 150-171 are directed to a telephone having means for browsing video files; and

**Group D** - Claims 148-149 are directed to a telephone having means for preventing the unauthorized distribution of a selected video file.

2,436,872

- 2 -

The claims must be limited to one invention only as set out in section 36 of the *Patent Act*.

In view of the above, a search of the prior art has been limited to the subject matter in claims 1-50 and 53-84.

A search of the prior art has thus far failed to reveal any pertinent references.

Claims of the corresponding issued United States patent No. 6,496,692 do not have the same scope of claims 1-50 and 53-84 in this Canadian application.

In view of the foregoing defects, the applicant is requisitioned, under subsection 30(2) of the *Patent Rules*, to amend the application in order to comply with the *Patent Act* and the *Patent Rules* or to provide arguments as to why the application does comply.

Under subsection 29(1) of the *Patent Rules*, the applicant is requisitioned to provide an identification of any prior art cited in respect of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, and European Patent Office applications describing the same invention on behalf of the applicant or on behalf of any other person claiming under an inventor named in the present application, and the patent numbers, if granted. Amendment to avoid references cited abroad may expedite the prosecution. In accordance with subsection 29(3) of the *Patent Rules*, if the particulars are not available to the applicant, the reason must be stated.

S.Chhim  
Patent Examiner  
(819) 997-2238